



COLORADO

IMPACT OF HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER FUNDING CUTS IN COLORADO

Housing Agency	Current Authorized Vouchers	2005 Funding Shortfall	2005 Cut in Families
Adams Co. HA	1,330	\$442,357	54
Archuleta Co. HA	59	\$16,866	2
Arvada HA	508	\$154,034	20
Aurora HA	1,087	\$428,639	44
Boulder	606	\$168,230	22
Boulder Co. HA	641	\$218,724	26
Brighton HA	212	\$60,148	9
Center HA	25	\$2,915	1
CO. Dept of Human Services	3,054	\$657,345	118
CO. Division of Housing	2,494	\$689,333	96
Colorado Springs	2,065	\$605,721	83
Delta HA	226	\$34,607	9
Denver City and Co.	5,231	\$2,011,295	213
El Paso Co. HA	103	\$24,993	4
Englewood HA	393	\$140,705	15
Fort Collins HA	744	\$202,228	30
Fountain HA	238	\$61,930	9
Garfield Co. HA	434	\$80,224	16
Grand Junction HA	880	\$170,629	36
Greeley	446	\$106,737	18
Jefferson Co.	1,447	\$460,735	57
La Junta HA	102	\$14,889	4
Lakewood HA	1,251	\$433,579	49
Lamar	107	\$19,128	4
Larimer Co.	100	\$9,668	1
Las Animas	124	\$21,546	5
Littleton HA	288	\$76,020	11
Longmont HA	509	\$158,325	20
Louisville HA	27	\$8,255	1
Loveland HA	468	\$116,547	18
Manitou Springs	57	\$13,222	2
Montezuma Co. HA	262	\$45,662	10
Montrose Co. HA	178	\$33,507	7
Otero Co. HA	46	\$6,298	2
Pueblo	1,456	\$314,414	57
Rocky Ford HA	50	\$9,207	2
Sheridan HA	177	\$57,700	7
Trinidad HA	83	\$14,654	3
Weld Co.	427	\$111,377	17
Total Colorado	27,935	\$8,202,393	1,102

Source: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities
<http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-states.htm>

The President's proposed 2006 budget would eliminate the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program resulting in the loss of \$4.1 billion in crucial community development and housing funding for communities in all 50 states including \$96,477,691 for all recipients in the Mountain Plains region.

In Colorado, elimination of the CDBG Program represents the loss of \$42,712,348 in communities throughout the state.

AREA AFFECTED	AMOUNT LOST
Colorado State Program	\$12,428,946
Arvada	\$582,474
Aurora	\$2,798,683
Boulder	\$1,074,927
Centennial	\$355,667
Colorado Springs	\$2,925,299
Denver	\$10,511,835
Fort Collins	\$1,154,086
Grand Junction	\$387,644
Greeley	\$977,245
Lakewood	\$1,059,106
Longmont	\$613,944
Loveland	\$330,522
Pueblo	\$1,864,800
Westminster	\$642,212
Adams County	\$1,886,195
Arapahoe County	\$1,160,858
Douglas County	\$678,546
Jefferson County	\$1,279,359
Total Loss of CDBG	\$42,712,348

Source: National Association of Housing & Redevelopment Officials

The Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) is one of the primary means for low-income families to access housing that is decent and affordable and located in neighborhoods of their choice. A survey conducted by the Colorado Division of Housing (DOH) in January of 2004 regarding the Housing Choice Voucher Program found there were 46,000 families on waiting lists, which is 10,000 more families than last year. This is the highest number the Division has seen since it started compiling this information. The DOH survey also found that 81% of those families are of very low income making 30% or less of area median income.

Cuts to the HCV Program could cause more than 1,000 families in Colorado to lose their housing assistance.



National Association of Housing & Redevelopment Officials

MONTANA

MONTANA

The President's proposed 2006 budget would eliminate the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program resulting in the loss of \$4.1 billion in crucial community development and housing funding for communities in all 50 states including \$96,477,691 for all recipients in the Mountain Plains region.

In Montana, elimination of the CDBG Program represents the loss of \$10,238,206 in communities throughout the state.

IMPACT OF LOSS OF CDBG FUNDS IN MONTANA

AREA AFFECTED	AMOUNT LOST
Montana State Program	\$7,626,300
Billings	\$805,288
Great Falls	\$1,092,496
Missoula	\$714,122
Total Loss of CDBG	\$10,238,206

Source: National Association of Housing & Redevelopment Officials

HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER 2005 BUDGET BASED FUNDING

Who does this affect?

MONTANA LANDLORDS: With the new funding policy Public Housing Authorities (PHA's) have been forced to reduce their payment standards to Montana's private landlords. This has forced landlords to reduce rents below local fair market rents to continue housing Section 8 Voucher clients. It has also reduced the number of vouchers available in each community, giving landlords less clientele.

MONTANA ELDERLY: The elderly make up roughly 15% of Montana PHAs' waiting lists.

FAMILIES WITH DISABILITIES: Families with disabilities make up roughly 35% of Montana PHAs' waiting lists.

FAMILIES WITH CHILDREN: Families with children make up roughly 50% of Montana PHAs' waiting lists.

When Montana PHAs are cut year after year, it undermines the PHAs' and private landlords' ability to provide critical housing to those most in need.

IMPACT OF HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER FUNDING CUTS IN MONTANA

Housing Agency	Current Authorized Vouchers	2005 Funding Shortfall	2005 Cut in Families
Billings	503	\$94,672	21
Butte HA	21	\$3,379	1
Great Falls HA	200	\$36,072	8
Helena HA	292	\$60,891	12
Missoula HA	754	\$150,954	30
MT. Dept. of Commerce	3,716	\$652,501	150
Richland County HA	92	\$7,854	4
Ronan HA	36	\$6,135	1
Whitefish HA	10	\$1,844	-
Total Montana	5,624	\$1,014,302	227

Source: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities

<http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-states.htm>

N. DAKOTA

IMPACT OF HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER FUNDING CUTS IN NORTH DAKOTA

Housing Agency	Current Authorized Vouchers	2005 Funding Shortfall	2005 Cut in Families
Barnes County	155	\$17,223	6
Benson County	16	\$2,093	1
Burleigh County HA	1,041	\$162,896	41
Cass County HA	379	\$68,705	15
Cavalier County HA	50	\$4,620	2
Cooperstown HRC	45	\$3,764	2
Dickey/ Sargent Housing	37	\$3,586	1
Dunn County HA	41	\$2,763	1
Eddy County HA	55	\$4,561	2
Emmons County HA	4	\$505	-
Fargo HA	1,146	\$178,743	47
Foster County	60	\$6,613	2
Grand Forks HA	1,220	\$198,077	46
LaMoure County HA	31	\$2,455	1
McHenry County HA	43	\$5,938	2
McIntosh County HA	43	\$3,790	2
McKenzie County HA	37	\$3,072	1
Mercer County HA	7	\$764	-
Minot HA	778	\$113,276	31
Morton County Housing	577	\$73,322	24
Mountrail County HA	74	\$8,819	3
Northwest Regional HA	46	\$3,561	2
Pembina County HA	178	\$22,998	7
Ramsey County HA	183	\$20,296	6
Ransom HA	55	\$4,839	2
Richland County HA	61	\$8,507	2
Rolette County HA	64	\$9,590	2
Stark County HA	306	\$34,849	12
Stutsman County HA	487	\$51,428	20
Townder County HA	20	\$2,063	1
Trail County HA	39	\$4,387	2
Walsh County HA	94	\$11,259	4
Wells County HA	25	\$2,378	1
Williston	32	\$2,468	1
Total North Dakota	7,429	\$1,044,208	292

Source: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities
<http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-states.htm>

IMPACT OF LOSS OF CDBG FUNDS IN NORTH DAKOTA

AREA AFFECTED	AMOUNT LOST
North Dakota State Program	\$5,436,827
Bismark	\$392,406
Fargo	\$789,140
Grand Forks	\$476,001
Total Loss in CDBG	\$7,094,374

Source: National Association of Housing & Redevelopment Officials

Hundreds of low-income North Dakota families could end up without roofs over their heads as congress moves forward with 2005 federal budget cuts. In North Dakota, more than 7,000 families are utilizing the voucher program. Under the 2005 budget, 292 of them will lose their housing assistance.

These families have an average annual income of \$9,493. Twenty percent are elderly or disabled. North Dakotans are, in general, a proud people with only 4% of its single parent families utilizing public assistance. Fifty percent of those on the program have employment income and are part of the "working poor" class. Their options are few if they lose their rental assistance.

Of particular concern is the benchmarking of the Fair Market Rents across North Dakota. Metro areas uniformly lost \$100 or more on various bedroom sizes. For example: the FMR on a one-bedroom unit in Fargo went from \$513 to \$413 and Grand Forks lost \$116 on its three-bedroom units. Hundreds of elderly/disabled tenants will now be paying that extra portion of their rent out of their pockets. With an average monthly income of \$600, they could likely be forced to go without necessities such as food or medicine. These huge changes are not reflective of the market in North Dakota.

Rural areas FMRs, on the other hand, uniformly went up a high percentage. The one-bedroom FMR for McLean County went from \$312 to \$390. You would be hard-pressed to find a unit there that rents for \$390. Landlords traditionally follow FMRs and adjust rents accordingly. The fear is that raising the rents in these areas will allow fewer families to be assisted. Again, these rural changes are not reflective of market rates.

Cuts to the HCV Program could cause almost 300 families in North Dakota to lose their housing assistance.

The President's proposed 2006 budget would eliminate the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program resulting in the loss of \$4.1 billion in crucial community development and housing funding for communities in all 50 states including \$96,477,691 for all recipients in the Mountain Plains region.

In North Dakota, elimination of the CDBG Program represents the loss of \$7,094,374 in communities throughout the state.

S. DAKOTA

Cuts to the HCV Program could cause more than 225 families in South Dakota to lose their housing assistance.

IMPACT OF HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER FUNDING CUTS IN SOUTH DAKOTA

Housing Agency	Current Authorized Vouchers	2005 Funding Shortfall	2005 Cut in Families
Aberdeen HA	468	\$55,966	19
Brookings HRC	277	\$37,451	11
Butte County HA	20	\$2,666	1
Canton Housing Commission	61	\$10,182	2
Clark HA	28	\$2,501	1
Huron Housing Commission	228	\$22,870	9
Lawrence County HA	262	\$36,872	11
Lennox Housing Commission	27	\$4,940	1
Maddison Housing Commission	118	\$12,904	5
Meade County HA	207	\$33,327	8
Milbank Housing Commission	64	\$7,706	3
Miller Housing Commission	16	\$979	1
Mitchell Housing Commission	112	\$13,435	4
Mobridge HRC	129	\$18,555	5
Pennington County HC	1,214	\$246,162	49
Pierre HA	164	\$20,812	7
Redfield	67	\$7,201	3
Sioux Falls Housing Commission	1,564	\$319,581	64
Vermillion Housing	258	\$29,740	8
Watertown Housing	287	\$35,767	11
Wessington Springs	19	\$2,287	1
Yankton Housing Commission	143	\$15,076	5
Total South Dakota	5,733	\$936,980	229

Source: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities

<http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hou-states.htm>

The President's proposed 2006 budget would eliminate the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program resulting in the loss of \$4.1 billion in crucial community development and housing funding for communities in all 50 states including \$96,477,691 for all recipients in the Mountain Plains region.

In South Dakota, elimination of the CDBG Program represents the loss of \$8,891,136 in communities throughout the state.

IMPACT OF LOSS OF CDBG FUNDS IN SOUTH DAKOTA

AREA AFFECTED	AMOUNT LOST
South Dakota State Program	\$7,394,248
Rapid City	\$564,871
Sioux Falls	\$932,017
Total Loss in CDBG	\$8,891,136

Source: National Association of Housing & Redevelopment Officials

The Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) is one of the primary means for low-income families to access housing that is decent and affordable and located in neighborhoods of their choice. NAHRO advocates for voucher renewal funding that is sufficient to cover all vouchers that can be used in FY 2006. The voucher program also provides housing opportunities for homeless and at-risk families, but waiting lists can be several years long. NAHRO supports new funding for new vouchers to meet these growing needs.



UTAH

The Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) is one of the primary means for low-income families to access housing that is decent and affordable and located in neighborhoods of their choice. NAHRO advocates for voucher renewal funding that is sufficient to cover all vouchers that can be used in FY 2006. The voucher program also provides housing opportunities for homeless and at-risk families, but waiting lists can be several years long. NAHRO supports new funding for new vouchers to meet these growing needs.

Cuts to the HCV Program could cause 400 families in Utah to lose their housing assistance.

IMPACT OF HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER FUNDING CUTS IN UTAH

Housing Agency	Current Authorized Vouchers	2005 Funding Shortfall	2005 Cut in Families
Bear River Regional HA	122	\$22,894	5
Beaver HA	19	\$340	-
Carbon County HA	273	\$36,514	10
Cedar City HA	139	\$17,464	5
Davis County HA	1,036	\$248,812	42
Emery County HA	68	\$11,193	3
Grand County HA	73	\$10,604	3
Logan City HA	444	\$55,722	3
Myton City HA	33	\$4,621	1
Ogden HA	822	\$183,620	31
Provo HA	883	\$162,099	35
Roosevelt City HA	91	\$10,435	4
Salt Lake City	2,139	\$495,630	80
Salt Lake County HA	2,129	\$ 578,131	85
St. George HA	244	\$40,566	10
Tooele County HA	215	\$41,599	9
Utah County HA	952	\$218,443	39
Weber County HA	123	\$29,543	5
West Valley City HA	513	\$112,147	20
Total Utah	10,318	\$2,280,377	400

Source: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities
<http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hou-states.htm>



The President's proposed 2006 budget would eliminate the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program resulting in the loss of \$4.1 billion in crucial community development and housing funding for communities in all 50 states including \$96,477,691 for all recipients in the Mountain Plains region.

In Utah, elimination of the CDBG Program represents the loss of \$22,838,185 in communities throughout the state.

IMPACT OF LOSS OF CDBG FUNDS IN UTAH

AREA AFFECTED	AMOUNT LOST
Utah State Program	\$7,203,376
Clearfiels	\$266,281
Layton	\$387,946
Logan	\$668,936
Ogden	\$1,302,425
Orem	\$696,788
Provo	\$1,929,092
Salt Lake City	\$4,649,907
Sandy City	\$447,904
St. George	\$523,992
Taylorsville	\$430,416
West Jordan	\$532,340
West Valley	\$1,052,137
Salt Lake County	\$2,746,645
Total Loss of CDBG	\$22,838,185

Source: National Association of Housing & Redevelopment Officials



The President's proposed 2006 budget would eliminate the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program resulting in the loss of \$4.1 billion in crucial community development and housing funding for communities in all 50 states including \$96,477,691 for all recipients in the Mountain Plains region.

In Wyoming, elimination of the CDBG Program represents the loss of \$4,703,442 in communities throughout the state.

**IMPACT OF LOSS OF CDBG FUNDS
IN WYOMING**

AREA AFFECTED	AMOUNT LOST
Wyoming State Program	\$3,571,002
Casper	\$514,095
Cheyenne	\$618,345
Total Loss in CDBG	\$4,703,442

Source: National Association of Housing & Redevelopment Officials

The Housing Choice Voucher (HCV) is one of the primary means for low-income families to access housing that is decent and affordable and located in neighborhoods of their choice. NAHRO advocates for voucher renewal funding that is sufficient to cover all vouchers that can be used in FY 2006. The voucher program also provides housing opportunities for homeless and at-risk families, but waiting lists can be several years long. NAHRO supports new funding for new vouchers to meet these growing needs.

Cuts to the HCV Program could cause more than 80 families in Wyoming to lose their housing assistance.

**IMPACT OF HOUSING CHOICE VOUCHER
FUNDING CUTS IN WYOMING**

Housing Agency	Current Authorized Vouchers	2005 Funding Shortfall	2005 Cut in Families
Casper HA	499	\$76,202	20
Cheyenne HA	1,532	\$272,578	57
Evanston HA	86	\$ 8,261	3
Rock Springs HA	31	\$4,036	1
Total Wyoming	2,148	\$361,077	81

Source: Center on Budget and Policy Priorities

<http://www.cbpp.org/2-18-05hous-states.htm>